

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/604,824                                  | 08/20/2003    | Erik Jon Peterson    |                        | 1823            |
| 34892 759                                   | 90 08/23/2005 |                      | EXAM                   | INER            |
| NOVEL IDEAS INC                             |               |                      | WEAVER, SUE A          |                 |
| 1117 SILO HILL DRIVE<br>GRAYSLAKE, IL 60030 |               |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER    |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·       |               |                      | 3727                   |                 |
|   |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/23/200 | 5               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | $\mathcal{M}$  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |
|   | 10/604,824   | PETERSON ET AL.  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |
|   | Sue A. Weaver  | 3727   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply   | on appears on the cover sheet w  | ith the correspondence address   |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. In a reply within the statutory minimum of third period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Af | reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status  |  | •  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | •  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all   |  | ters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice un   | nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E   | ). 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applic   | ation.   |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit  | thdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  | (.   |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a  | and/or election requirement.   | •  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exa  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 August 2003 is  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to   |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t   | he Examiner. Note the attached   | d Office Action or form P10-152.   |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  | -  |  |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  | oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.  | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu   | ments have been received   |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docu  |  | Application No.  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the  |  |  |  |
| application from the International E  | ·  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for   | •  | received.  |  |
|   |  | -  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview   | Summary (PTO-413)  |  |
| 1) EN 140tice of References Offer (1 10-032)  | TI IIIICIAICA  |  |  |

Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/20/03</u>.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Port 10 and flange 11 do not appear to have been identified. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the sectional views must be properly cross hatched to reflect the materials claimed in claims 7, 8, 16 and 17, or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

#### **Replacement Drawing Sheets**

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next

Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

#### **Annotated Drawing Sheets**

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

### **Timing of Corrections**

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: applicants appear to have used "7" to describe both an inlet and an outlet (see page 7).

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marte '242.

Marte teaches applicants' concept of providing a nursing bottle with a medication dispenser disposed between the nipple and bottle. Note that the flange 31 forms a seal with the nipple flange and that member 32 is a conical shaped port

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson-Fignon '137 in view of Marte.

Anderson-Fignon teaches a medication dispenser with a nipple 11 and having inlet and outlet ports and sealed to the flange of the nipple. Note that Anderson-Fignon also suggests use as a pacifier or with a nursing bottle. To have used the device with a bottle as taught by Marte would have been most obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art. Note the cylindrical shape taught by Marte and the conical shape taught by Marte.

6. Claims 9, 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson-Fignon '137 in view of Chen '193, cited by applicants.

To have formed the dispenser of Anderson-Fignon with a cylindrical seal with the nipple in the manner taught by Chen at 141 would have been obvious. Note that Anderson-Fignon teaches the use with a bottle.

7. Claim 3-6, 11-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1 and 9 above and further in view of Chen '577

Page 6

To have formed the outlet end smaller than the inlet end to control fowl would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Chen '577 at 22.

8. Claims 7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Sabesan '612.

To have formed the device of a polyolefin in the manner taught by Sabesan would have been obvious.

9. Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Randolph '121

To have used a well-known TPE for the device would have been obvious in view of the teaching by Randolph.

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Noble, Herrmann, Demeter et al, Roth et al, Roskilly, Kraamer, Bral, Schein et al, Burchett et al and Petterson teach other inserts for bottles. Levy, Oliver, Trotter, Thomas, Kiernan, Glories et al, Dieringer et al, Dahan and Sakashita show other nipple inserts.
- 11. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information

and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

# **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 (Date) Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate: Registration Number: \_\_\_\_\_ **Certificate of Transmission** I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703)\_\_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_. (Date) Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate: Signature: Registration Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is (571) 272-4548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday (6-4:30).

Page 8

\_ The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SW

Sue A. Weaver Primery Examiner